

## DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 390 528

PS 023 406

AUTHOR Davies, Patrick; And Others  
TITLE Children's Responses to Adult Conflict as a Function of Conflict History: Testing the Emotional Security Hypothesis.  
PUB DATE Apr 95  
NOTE 6p.; Paper presented at the Biennial Meeting of the Society for Research in Child Development (61st, Indianapolis, IN, March 30-April 2, 1995).  
PUB TYPE Speeches/Conference Papers (150) -- Reports - Research/Technical (143)  
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.  
DESCRIPTORS Age Differences; Child Development; \*Children; \*Conflict; Emotional Adjustment; \*Emotional Problems; Emotional Response; \*Family Environment; \*Security (Psychology); Sex Differences; \*Young Adults  
IDENTIFIERS Emotional Distress

## ABSTRACT

This study tested the theoretical proposition that family histories of destructive adult conflict reduce children's emotional security. Subjects were 112 children--ages 6, 11, and 19 years--with equal numbers of males and females in each age group. Subjects viewed the videotapes of an adult couple engaged in either a destructive conflict or a constructive conflict. Next, both groups of children witnessed a standard conflict between the same couple. To assess the impact on emotional security, children were then interviewed concerning their negative emotional reactivity, avoidance of conflict, and internal representations of future adult hostility. Results showed that the destructive conflict histories elicited greater insecurity among children across all three assessment. However, insecurity was expressed in different ways across age and gender. (WP)

\*\*\*\*\*  
\* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made \*  
\* from the original document. \*  
\*\*\*\*\*

U S DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement  
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION  
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as  
received from the person or organization  
originating it

Minor changes have been made to  
improve reproduction quality

• Points of view or opinions stated in this  
document do not necessarily represent  
official OERI position or policy

## Impact of Conflict Hx on Children's Responding

1

Children's Responses to Adult Conflict As A Function of  
Conflict History: Testing the Emotional Security Hypothesis

Patrick Davies

Robin Myers

Staci Heindel

E. Mark Cummings

West Virginia University

PERMISSION TO PRODUCE THIS  
MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Patrick  
Davies

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES  
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Poster presented at the meeting of the Society for Research in Child Development, Indianapolis, IN, April 1995.  
Inquiries may be addressed to Patrick Davies, Department of Psychology, West Virginia University, Morgantown,  
WV 26506-6040.

Abstract

This study tested the theoretical proposition that histories (hx) of destructive adult conflict reduce children's emotional security, as indexed by their negative emotionality, regulation of adult affect, and hostile representations of adult relations. Using an analogue design, 112 children viewed videotapes depicting an adult couple engaged in a hx of: (a) 4 hostile, unresolved arguments (destructive conflict), or (b) 4 mild, resolved arguments (constructive conflict). Next, both groups of children witnessed a standard conflict between the same couple and were then interviewed about their negative emotionality, regulation of adult affect, and internal representations of the adults. Destructive conflict hx elicited greater insecurity among children across all three assessments; although insecurity in response to destructive conflict was exhibited in different ways across age and gender.

### Introduction

Drawing from attachment theory, Davies and Cummings (1994) have proposed that children's sense of emotional security mediates relations between marital conflict and children's psychological problems. Histories (hx) of destructive conflict (i.e., hostile, unresolved) are hypothesized to reduce children's emotional security in subsequent conflict contexts between the adults, whereas hx of constructive adult disputes (i.e., mild, fully resolved conflict) are expected to have little cumulative negative effects. Emotional insecurity is expected to be manifested through several processes, including: (a) children's negative emotional reactivity; (b) avoidance of disputes; and (c) hostile internal representations of adult relations.

Although correlational findings demonstrate links between marital conflict, children's coping, and child adjustment, this research has not: (a) fully assessed the dimensions of emotional security, (b) specified the causal direction and nature of the link, and (c) included subjects across a wide age range in childhood. By manipulating conflict hx (i.e., constructive vs. destructive), the present study provides a test of whether conflict hx casually affects children's emotional security across a wide age range.

### Method

Participants. 112 children (6-, 11-, 19-years), with equal numbers of males and females in each age group.

Procedure. Using random assignment, children witnessed videotapes of an adult couple engaged in either a: (a) destructive conflict hx [4 1-min. hostile, unresolved conflicts], or (b) constructive conflict hx [4 1-min. mild, resolved conflicts]. Following the conflict hx, both groups of children witnessed a standard conflict between the same couple. To assess the impact on emotional security, children were then interviewed concerning their: (a) negative emotionality, (b) motivation to avoid the dispute, and (c) internal representations of the quality of future adult relations.

Measures. (a) negative emotionality consisted of mad, sad, scared ratings ("0" = None to "5" = A Whole Lot); (b) avoidance impulses ("0" = absent, "1" = present); and (c) representations of future adult relations ("1" = intense harmony to "5" = intense hostility).

### Strategy for Data Analysis

Three-way factorial analyses of variance (ANOVA): Independent variables: (a) conflict hx (destructive, constructive), (b) age (3 conditions), and (c) gender. Dependent measures: (a) mad, sad, scared responses; (b) avoidance, and (c) representations of future adult hostility.

## Results

1. Negative emotional reactivity: Children who witnessed destructive conflict hx reported more sadness ( $M = 1.68$ ,  $SD = 1.60$ ) to the standard conflict than those who witnessed the constructive conflict hx ( $M = 1.13$ ,  $SD = 1.50$ ),  $F(1,111) = 4.17$ ,  $p < .05$ . For girls, destructive conflict hx elicited greater anger and fear than constructive conflict hx;  $F(1,111) = 4.35$ ,  $p < .05$ ,  $F(1,111) = 6.34$ ,  $p = .01$ , respectively (Table 1).

2. Avoidance of adult conflict: The impact of conflict hx on children's avoidance strategies varied by gender and age,  $F(2,111) = 3.32$ ,  $p < .05$  (see Table 2). For girls, witnessing destructive conflict hx led to greater avoidance than constructive hx only for the 11-year-olds. For boys, witnessing destructive conflict hx elicited greater avoidance than constructive conflict hx only for 6-year-olds.

3. Representations of future adult hostility: A conflict history  $\times$  age interaction,  $F(2,111) = 6.69$ ,  $p < .005$ , revealed that destructive conflict hx led to representations of greater future hostility between the adults than constructive hx for 19-year-olds (see Table 3).

## Conclusions

Consistent with the predictions of the emotional security hypothesis, destructive conflict hx between adults reduced children's emotional security (Davies & Cummings, 1994). Insecurity, however, was expressed in different ways across age and gender:

1. Negative emotional reactivity: Consistent with the notion that girls can better distinguish between positive and negative characteristics of conflict (El-Sheikh, Cummings, & Reiter, in press), destructive conflict hx generally elicited greater negative emotionality than constructive hx for girls.

2. Avoidance of conflict: 6-year-old boys and 11-year-old girls endorsed greater avoidance after witnessing destructive conflict hx. This supports the hypothesis that boys are more susceptible to stress during childhood, while girls may become more susceptible around adolescence (Cummings & Davies, 1994).

3. Representations of future adult hostility: 19-year-olds who witnessed the destructive conflict hx expected greater adult hostility in the future than those who witnessed constructive hx. This suggests that, during adolescence, children may increasingly view the consequences of conflict within the context of future adult relations (Grych & Fincham, 1990).

## References

Cummings, E. M., & Davies, P. T. (1994). Children and marital conflict: The impact of family dispute and resolution. New York: Guilford Press.

Davies, P. T., & Cummings, E. M. (1994). Marital conflict and child adjustment: An emotional security hypothesis. Psychological Bulletin, 116, 387-411.

El-Sheikh, M., Cummings, E. M., & Reiter, S. (in press). Preschoolers' responses to interadult conflict: The role of experimentally manipulated exposure to resolved and unresolved arguments. Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology.

Fincham, F. D., Grych, J. H., & Osborne, L. N. (1994). Does marital conflict cause child maladjustment? Directions and challenges for longitudinal research. Journal of Family Psychology, 8, 128-140.

Grych, J. H., & Fincham, F. D. (1990). Marital conflict and children's adjustment: A cognitive-contextual framework. Psychological Bulletin, 108, 267-290.

Table 1. Children's Anger and Fear as a Function of Conflict History and Gender.

Gender	<u>Conflict History</u>	
	Destructive	Constructive
<u>Anger</u>		
Boys	1.11 (1.45)	1.64 (1.81)
Girls	1.86 (1.96)	1.07 (1.27)
<u>Fear</u>		
Boys	1.11 (1.64)	1.07 (1.33)
Girls	1.71 (1.46)	0.54 (0.96)

Table 2. Percentage of Children Endorsing Avoidance as a Function of Conflict History, Age, and Gender.

Age x Gender	<u>Conflict History</u>	
	Destructive	Constructive
<u>Boys</u>		
6-years	63%	13%
11-years	25%	25%
19-years	17%	25%
<u>Girls</u>		
6-years	38%	50%
11-years	88%	38%
19-years	17%	17%

Table 3. Children's Representations of Future Adult Hostility as a Function of Age.

Age	<u>Conflict History</u>	
	Destructive	Constructive
6-years	3.19 (1.33)	2.94 (2.05)
11-years	3.00 (1.37)	2.75 (1.34)
19-years	3.83 (1.47)	1.58 (1.18)